BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP 1 RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) B. Trent Webb, Esq. (pro hac vice) 2 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 Eric Buresh, Esq. (pro hac vice) Las Vegas, NV 89101 2555 Grand Boulevard 3 Telephone: (702) 382-7300 Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613 Facsimile: (702) 382-2755 Telephone: (816) 474-6550 4 rpocker@bsfllp.com Facsimile: (816) 421-5547 bwebb@shb.com 5 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP eburesh@shb.com STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice) 6 FRED NORTON (pro hac vice) Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (pro hac vice) KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) 600 Travis Street, Suite 1600 7 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Houston, Texas 77002 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (713) 227-8008 8 Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (731) 227-9508 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 rreckers@shb.com 9 sholtzman@bsfllp.com fnorton@bsfllp.com 10 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com GREENBERG TRAURIG Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086) 11 BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP Brandon Roos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 7888) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229) 12 THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice) Suite 400 North 13 Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Las Vegas, NV 89169 14 Telephone: 415.393.2000 Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002 15 geoff.howard@bingham.com tratosm@gtlaw.com thomas.hixson@bingham.com roosb@gtlaw.com 16 kristen.palumbo@bingham.com godfreyl@gtlaw.com 17 DORIAN DALEY (pro hac vice application to Attorneys for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc., *be submitted)* and Seth Ravin DEBORAH K. MILLER (pro hac vice) 18 JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice) 19 ORACLE CORPORATION 500 Oracle Parkway 20 M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 21 Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7114 22 dorian.daley@oracle.com deborah.miller@oracle.com 23 jim.maroulis@oracle.com 24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International 25 Corp. **26** 27 28

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES D	OISTRICT CO	URT
9	DISTRICT OF	F NEVADA	
10	ORACLE USA, Inc., a Colorado corporation;		
11	ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	Case No. 2	::10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL
12	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	JOINT CA STATEME	SE MANAGEMENT ENT
13	Plaintiffs, v.	DATE:	August 5, 2010
14	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;	TIME: PLACE:	9:30 a.m. Courtroom 3B
15	and SETH RAVIN, an individual,	JUDGE:	Magistrate Peggy A. Leen
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26			
27	2		
28	Z		

1	Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle America, Inc., and Oracle International Corp.		
2	(collectively, "Oracle" or "Plaintiffs") and Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. ("Rimini Street") and		
3	Seth Ravin ("Ravin") (together, "Rimini" or "Defendants") jointly submit this Case Managemen		
4	Conference Statement in advance of the August 5, 2010 Case Management Conference to		
5	provide the Court with a status report of the pending matters.		
6	I. PENDING MOTIONS		
7	On April 19, 2010, Oracle filed its First Amended Complaint. On May 6, 2010, Rimini		
8	Street filed its Answer and Counterclaim and Ravin filed his Answer. On May 24, 2010, Rimini		
9	Street filed an Answer to First Amended Complaint and First Amended Counterclaims, which		
10	included additional allegations in support of the Counterclaims. While Rimini Street and Ravin		
11	answered the claims of copyright infringement and breach of contract, on May 6, 2010, each also		
12	separately filed motions to dismiss portions of the remaining claims alleged against them in the		
13	First Amended Complaint. On June 10, 2010, Oracle filed its motion to dismiss the		
14	Counterclaims. All three motions to dismiss are fully briefed. No hearing date has been set for		
15	these motions.		
16	Oracle served its notice of deposition of Rimini Street pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) on June		
17	2, 2010. That deposition is subject to a Motion for Protective Order filed by Rimini Street on		
18	June 24, 2010, and scheduled to be heard on August 5, 2010. The parties have agreed that the		
19	deposition will go forward on August 13, 2010.		
20			
21	II. DISCOVERY CONDUCTED TO DATE		
22	A. Initial Disclosures		
23	The parties exchanged their initial disclosures on May 25, 2010.		
24	B. Discovery Served by Rimini and Responded to by Oracle		
25	Oracle has responded to the following discovery:		
26			
27			
28			
	1		

1			
2	Discovery	Date Served	Date Response/Objections Served
3	Rimini Street's Amended First Request for Production of Documents to Oracle	May 13, 2010	June 15, 2010
5	Rimini Street's Second Request for Production of Documents to Oracle	May 13, 2010	July 29, 2010
6 7	Rimini Street's First Set of Interrogatories to Oracle	June 11, 2010	July 21, 2010

In addition, Oracle has produced the following:

9			
	Bates No.	Description	Date of Production
10	ORCLRS0000001 -	574 software disks	June 28, 2010
	ORCLRS0000574		
11	ORCLRS0000575 -	Two hard drives with server	June 28, 2010
	ORCLRS0000576	logs	
12	ORCLRS0000577	One hard-drive with server	June 29, 2010
		logs	
13	ORCLRS0000578 -	45,877 pages of documents	June 28, 2010
	ORCLRS0046454	responsive to Rimini's First	
14		Request for Production of	
		Documents	
15	ORCLRS00046455	A CD of twenty (20)	June 28, 2010
1.		deposition transcripts	
16			

C. Discovery Served by Oracle and Responded to by Rimini

Rimini has responded to the following discovery:

19	Discovery	Date Served	Date Response/Objections Served
2021	Oracle's First Request for Production of Documents to Rimini Street	April 29, 2010	June 1, 2010
2223	Oracle's Second Request for Production of Documents to Rimini Street	April 29, 2010	July 1, 2010
24 25	Oracle's First Set of Interrogatories to Rimini Street	April 29, 2010	June 1, 2010
26 27	Oracle's First Request for Production of Documents to Ravin	May 13, 2010	June 15, 2010

8

17

18

In addition, Rimini has produced the following:

1
4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

•	Bates No.	Description	Date of Production
3	RSIH00200000001 - RSIH00200000054 ¹	Documents produced with Rimini's Initial Disclosures	May 25, 2010
5	RSIH00200000055 - RSIH00200000545	Documents referenced in Rimini Street's Responses to First Set of Interrogatories	June 17, 2010
6 7	RSI00000001 - RSI00005901	Documents responsive to Oracle's First Request for Production of Documents	June 17, 2010
8	RSI00005902 - RSI00050549	Documents responsive to Oracle's First and Second Request for Production of	July 12, 2010
10		Documents	

D. Third Party Discovery

On May 13, 2010, Oracle served a subpoena on Adams Street Partners, a private equity firm which invested in Rimini Street in or around July 2009. To date, Adams Street Partners has produced 672 pages of documents.

No other third party discovery has been conducted to date.

E. Depositions

Oracle served its notice of deposition of Rimini Street pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) on June 2, 2010. As stated above, that deposition is subject to a motion for protective order, filed by Rimini Street.

No other depositions have been noticed.

21

22

23

24

25

26

III. LIMITATIONS ON DISCOVERY

On May 13, 2010, the parties each submitted proposals concerning the limits on discovery and the timeframe for discovery in this case. (Docket #51) For the convenience of the Court, the May 13, 2010 joint case management statement is attached.

Plaintiffs' Proposal

Oracle continues to request that the Court order the limits and time for discovery

²⁸

¹ Documents reproduced on June 17, 2010 with the electronic database files.

originally proposed by Oracle. This proposal is unchanged from the schedule Oracle proposed in the May 13, 2010 Stipulated Discovery Plan (Docket # 51).

Subsequent to the May 13, 2010 CMC statement, the parties continued to meet and confer on a stipulated preservation order, but were unable to reach agreement after Defendants insisted that detailed disclosures about their preservation efforts be made in formal discovery. Accordingly, Oracle served a Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notice concerning those preservation efforts, but Defendants' pending motion for a protective order has delayed that deposition.

Given the progress in discovery in this matter to date – the volume of the parties' productions and the lack of any depositions – as well as the extent of the discovery in the SAP/TomorrowNow Lawsuit, the time it took to collect, produce, and analyze that discovery, and the necessary scope of additional discovery in this case, Oracle continues to believe that its proposal is the more reasonable one.

Oracle's proposal is set forth below. Deadlines for close of discovery refer to the last possible response date for a discovery request, not the last day on which requests may be served.

16	PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED DATE	EVENT	
17	6/1/2011	Deadline to amend pleadings and add new parties – 60 days before close	
18		of fact discovery* *The Parties' stipulation to this deadline shall not waive any	
19		objections to the propriety of another Party's pleading amendments File "interim status report" per LR 26-3 – 60 days before close of fact	
20		discovery	
21	8/1/2011	Close of fact discovery (15 months after first defendant's appearance) Identify experts on issues for which a Party has the burden of proof	
22	8/15/2011	Last day to file motions to compel related to fact discovery	
23	9/15/2011	Expert disclosures on issues for which a Party has the burden of proof	
24	11/1/2011	Disclosure of experts on rebuttal	
25	12/1/2011	File "interim status report" per LR 26-3 – 60 days before close of expert discovery	
26	2/1/2012	Close of expert discovery (six months after close of fact discovery)	
27	2/15/2012	Last day to file motions to compel related to expert discovery	
28	3/1/2012	Last day to file dispositive motions – 30 days after close of expert discovery	

PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED DATE	EVENT
30 days before start	Motions in limine due
of trial	File joint pretrial order, including all requirements of FRCP 26(a)(3) and
	LR 16-3(c)

Defendants' Proposal

Rimini Street also continues to request that the Court adopt its originally proposed limits and time for discovery. Since discovery commenced, Rimini Street has demonstrated its ability to provide discovery with speed and efficiency. For example, in response to Oracle's written discovery, Rimini promptly provided complete and comprehensive responses to Oracle's "foundational" interrogatories and produced approximately 50 thousand Bates-stamped pages responsive to Oracle's document requests. Rimini Street's discovery responses demonstrate that this case need not be as burdensome and time-consuming as Oracle represents, and there is simply no justification for extending discovery into 2012 as Oracle proposes. Moreover, Oracle's extended schedule and extensive discovery allotments promote inefficiency, and would afford Oracle the ability to use the *process* to bury Rimini Street. It is important that this case be decided on the *merits*. Accordingly, the Court should place reasonable limits on both the duration of this case and the amount of discovery available to the parties. Rimini Street's proffered discovery plan provides such limits, while still allowing full discovery into the merits of the claims in this case. Rimini respectfully requests that the Court adopt its discovery proposal, as set forth below.

DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED DATE	EVENT
2/28/2011	Deadline to amend pleadings and add new parties – 60 days before close of fact discovery
4/29/2011	Close of fact discovery
5/29/2011	Disclosure of experts on issues for which a Party has the burden of proof
5/29/2011	File "interim status report" per LR 26-3 – 60 days before close of discovery
6/29/2011	Disclosure of experts on rebuttal

1	DEFENDANTS' PROPOSED DATE	EVENT
3	7/29/2011	Close of expert discovery
4	8/29/2011	Last day to file dispositive motions – 30 days after close of expert discovery
5 6	9/29/2011	File joint pretrial order, including all requirements of FRCP 26(a)(3) and LR 16-3(c)
7	30 days before the start of trial	Motions in limine due.

IV. OTHER MATTERS

The parties are meeting and conferring about a stipulation to address the fact that there are a number of former Oracle employees who possess privileged, work product, and proprietary information belonging to Oracle, and who are now employees of Rimini Street. The parties will continue to meet and confer, and propose to submit an agreed form of order by August 16, or to submit any unresolved issues to the Court on that date.

Dated: August 2, 2010

16	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
17	By: /s/ Robert H. Reckers, Esq. Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (pro hac vice)	By: /s/ Fred Norton Fred Norton, Esq. (pro hac vice)
18	600 Travis Street, Suite 1600 Houston, Texas 77002	1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612
19	Telephone: (713) 227-8008 Facsimile: (731) 227-9508	Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
20	rreckers@shb.com	fnorton@bsfllp.com
21	Attorneys for Defendants	Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1	ATTESTATION OF FILER		
2	The signatories to this document are myself and Robert Reckers and I have obtained Mr.		
3	Reckers's concurrence to file this document on his behalf.		
4	Data da Assessa 2, 2010		
5	Dated: August 2, 2010		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
6	В	y:	/s/ Fred Norton Fred Norton, Esq. (pro hac vice)
7			1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612
8			Telephone: (510) 874-1000 Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
9			fnorton@bsfllp.com
10			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		7	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 2nd day of August, 2010, I electronically transmitted the foregoing JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel in this matter; all counsel being registered to receive Electronic Filing. /s/ Christina Seki An employee of Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP